

Mr Paul Anthony O'Brien

Neston Dental Care

Inspection Report

5 Park Street Neston Wirral CH64 3RP Tel: 0151 336 5555

Website: www.nestondentalcare.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 6 June 2017 Date of publication: 21/06/2017

Overall summary

We carried out this announced inspection on 6 June 2017 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

We told the NHS England Cheshire and Merseyside area team and Healthwatch that we were inspecting the practice. We did not receive any information of concern from them.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Background

Neston Dental Care is located in the centre of Neston and provides treatment to patients of all ages on an NHS and privately funded basis.

There is one step at the front entrance to the practice with a handrail positioned alongside to assist patients with limited mobility. There is level access to facilitate

Summary of findings

entrance to the practice for people who use wheelchairs and for pushchairs at the rear of the practice. Car parking is available in the practice's own car park at the rear of the practice.

The dental team includes four dentists, one dental hygienist / therapist, four dental nurses and one receptionist. The team is supported by a practice manager.

The practice has three treatment rooms.

The practice is owned by an individual who is the principal dentist there. They have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run.

We received feedback from 37 people during the inspection about the services provided. We also received feedback from seven people via the Share Your Experience facility on the CQC website. The feedback provided was positive about the practice.

During the inspection we spoke to two dentists, two dental nurses, the receptionist and the practice manager. We looked at practice policies, procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open: Monday 9.00am to 7.00pm and Tuesday to Friday 9.00am to 5.00pm.

Our key findings were:

- The practice was clean and well maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures in place.
- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. The recommended medical emergency medicines and equipment were not all available but the manager ordered these immediately.

- The practice had safeguarding processes in place and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding adults and children.
- The practice had systems in place to help them manage risk.
- Staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- The practice had a procedure in place for dealing with complaints.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- The appointment system took patients' needs into account. Dedicated emergency appointments were available.
- The practice had a leadership structure. Staff felt involved and supported and worked well as a team.
- The practice asked patients and staff for feedback about the services they provided.
- The practice had staff recruitment procedures in place which could be improved.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements and should:

- Review the protocol for maintaining accurate, complete and detailed records relating to the employment of staff. This includes ensuring recruitment checks are carried out and suitably recorded.
- Establish whether the practice is in compliance with its legal obligations under the Ionising Radiations Regulations 1999 and the Ionising Radiation (Medical Exposure) Regulations 2000, specifically in relation to the appointment of a Radiation Protection Adviser, (RPA), and notification to the Health and Safety Executive of the use of radiation on the premises. The provider submitted evidence of the appointment of an RPA after the inspection.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems and processes in place to provide safe care and treatment. They used learning from incidents and complaints to help them improve.

Staff received training in safeguarding and knew how to recognise the signs of abuse and how to report concerns.

The premises and equipment were clean and properly maintained. The practice followed national guidance for cleaning, sterilising and storing dental instruments.

The practice had suitable arrangements for dealing with medical and other emergencies.

The practice team kept accurate patient dental care records which were stored securely.

The practice completed essential recruitment checks before employing staff. Minor improvements could be made to recruitment procedures.

The practice had systems in place to ensure the safe use of radiation but these needed to be improved by the appointment of a Radiation Protection Adviser, (RPA). The provider submitted evidence of the appointment of an RPA after the inspection.

No action



Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The dentists assessed patients' needs and provided care and treatment in line with recognised guidance. Patients described the treatment they received as thorough and excellent. The dentists discussed treatment with patients so they could give informed consent and recorded this in their records.

The practice had clear arrangements when patients needed to be referred to other dental or health care professionals.

The practice had a strong focus on training and development and supported staff to complete training relevant to their roles. Staff trained together regularly as a team. Systems were in place to ensure essential training was completed.

No action



Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Patients were positive about all aspects of the service. They told us staff were friendly, helpful and professional. They said that they were given helpful, thorough explanations about dental treatment and said their dentist listened to them. Patients commented that they made them feel at ease, especially when they were anxious about visiting the dentist.

No action



Summary of findings

We saw that staff protected patients' privacy and were aware of the importance of confidentiality.

Patients said staff treated them with dignity and respect.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice's appointment system took account of patients' needs. Patients could obtain an appointment quickly in an emergency.

The practice had access to interpreter services.

Staff considered patients' needs and made reasonable adjustments to meet these. This included providing facilities for patients with disabilities

Staff responded to concerns and complaints quickly.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had arrangements in place to ensure the smooth running of the service. These included systems for the practice team to monitor and improve the quality and safety of the care and treatment provided.

There was a clearly defined management structure and staff felt supported and appreciated. The managers were open and inclusive and valued the contributions of all team members.

Staff regularly reviewed the skills of the practice as a whole and monitored clinical and non-clinical areas of their work to help them improve and learn.

The practice regularly asked for and acted on the views of patients and staff.

No action

No action



Are services safe?

Our findings

Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice had policies and procedures to report, investigate, respond to and learn from accidents, incidents and significant events. Staff knew about these and understood their role in the process.

The practice recorded, responded to and discussed all incidents to reduce risk and support future learning.

The practice received national patient safety and medicines alerts, for example, from the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Authority. Relevant alerts were communicated to staff, acted on and stored for future reference.

Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

The practice had safeguarding policies and procedures in place to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. Staff knew their responsibilities should they have concerns about the safety of children, young people or adults who are vulnerable due to their circumstances. Staff received safeguarding training and knew the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns.

The practice had a whistleblowing policy in place. Staff told us they were confident to raise concerns without fear of recrimination.

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. These included risk assessments which staff reviewed every year. The practice followed relevant safety laws when using needles and other sharp dental items. We reviewed the procedures the dentists followed when providing root canal treatment and found these were in accordance with recognised guidance.

The practice had a business continuity plan describing how the practice would deal with events which could disrupt the normal running of the practice.

Medical emergencies

Staff knew what to do in a medical emergency and completed training in medical emergencies and life support every year. We noted that one of the dentists was trained to a higher level in life support.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available as recommended in recognised guidance, with the exception of a paediatric self inflating bag mask and masks with oxygen reservoirs. The provider ordered these immediately. Staff carried out and kept records of checks to make sure the medicines and equipment were within their expiry dates and in working order.

Staff recruitment

The practice had a staff recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff. This did not fully reflect the relevant legislation. We looked at several staff recruitment records. These showed the practice followed their recruitment procedure but not all the prescribed documentary evidence was consistently requested and retained in the records. The provider assured us this would be addressed.

Clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council, where necessary, and had professional indemnity cover.

Monitoring health and safety and responding to risks

The practice had an overarching health and safety policy in place, underpinned by several specific policies and risk assessments to help manage potential risk. These covered general workplace and specific dental practice risks.

Dental nurses worked with all the clinicians when they treated patients.

The provider had a system in place to ensure clinical staff had received appropriate vaccinations, including the vaccination to protect them against the Hepatitis B virus, and that the effectiveness of the vaccination was identified. People who are likely to come into contact with blood products, and are at increased risk of injuries from sharp instruments, should receive the Hepatitis B vaccination to minimise the risks of acquiring blood borne infections. Systems were in place to check staff immunity.

We observed that no radiation or compressed gas warning signs were displayed.

Infection control

The practice had an infection prevention and control policy and associated procedures in place to keep patients safe. They followed guidance in The Health Technical

Are services safe?

Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM01-05) published by the Department of Health. Staff completed infection prevention and control training every year as a team.

The practice had suitable arrangements for transporting, cleaning, checking, sterilising and storing instruments in accordance with HTM 01-05. The records showed equipment staff used for cleaning and sterilising instruments was maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance.

We observed some minor deviations from HTM 01 05 guidance, for example, no eye protection was worn during the manual cleaning of the instruments, and after cleaning, the instruments were rinsed under running water. The provider assured us these would be addressed and further specific training was being arranged.

Staff carried out infection prevention and control audits twice a year. The latest audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards.

The practice had procedures in place, in accordance with current guidance, to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises. The practice was clean when we inspected and patients confirmed this was usual.

Equipment and medicines

We saw servicing documentation for the equipment used in the practice. Staff carried out checks in accordance with the manufacturers' recommendations.

The practice had suitable systems for prescribing, dispensing and storing medicines.

The practice stored and kept records of NHS prescriptions in accordance with current guidance.

Radiography (X-rays)

We looked at the practice's arrangements to ensure X-ray procedures were carried out safely. X-ray equipment was serviced and tested at the recommended intervals. We were told that a Radiation Protection Adviser, (RPA), had been appointed and that the Health and Safety Executive had been notified of the use of radiation on the premises but no evidence was provided to confirm this. The provider submitted evidence of the appointment of an RPA after the inspection.

We saw evidence that the dentists justified, graded and reported on the X-rays they took. The practice carried out X-ray audits regularly following current guidance.

Where appropriate, staff completed continuing professional development in respect of dental radiography.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical history. The dentists assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

We saw that staff audited patients' dental care records to check that the clinicians recorded the necessary information.

Health promotion and prevention

The practice supported patients to achieve better oral health in accordance with the Department of Health publication 'Delivering better oral health: an evidence-based toolkit for prevention'. The dentists told us they prescribed high concentration fluoride products if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. The dentists told us they discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale and provided health promotion leaflets to help patients with their oral health. Staff visited local schools to promote good oral health and regular dental attendance to children.

Staffing

Staff new to the practice completed a period of induction based on a structured induction programme.

The practice had a strong focus on training and development and we saw training was provided from the initial appointment of staff and as part of their on-going professional development. The practice attended training courses and development days as a team.

The principal dentist was a trainer in the Foundation Dentist scheme and had supported a newly qualified dentist in the practice over the last three years.

The General Dental Council requires dental professionals to complete continuing professional development as a requirement of their registration. Staff told us the practice provided support, training opportunities and encouragement to assist them in meeting the requirements of their registration. The practice routinely monitored training to ensure essential training was completed.

Staff told us they had annual appraisals. These were used to discuss learning needs, general wellbeing and future professional development. We saw several completed appraisals which confirmed this.

Working with other services

Dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care if they needed treatment the practice did not provide. This included referring patients with suspected oral cancer in accordance with the current guidelines. The practice monitored urgent referrals to ensure they were dealt with promptly.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The dentists told us they gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these so they could make informed decisions. Patients confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. Staff had an excellent understanding of their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who may not be able to make informed decisions. The policy also referred to Gillick competence. The dentists and dental nurses were aware of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16. Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

Are services caring?

Our findings

Respect, dignity, compassion and empathy

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients commented positively that staff were friendly, efficient and pleasant. We saw that staff treated patients kindly and with respect and were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Staff understood the importance of providing emotional support for patients who were nervous of dental treatment. Patients told us staff were kind and helpful when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

Patients could choose whether they saw a male or female

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. The layout of reception and waiting areas provided privacy when reception staff were dealing with patients. Staff told us that if a patient requested further privacy facilities were available. The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave patient information where other patients might see it.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The dentists provided patients with information to help them make informed choices. Patients confirmed that staff listened to them, discussed options for treatment with them, and gave them time to think. Dentists described the conversations they had with patients to help them understand their treatment options.

Information about the range of treatments provided was available on the practice's website and in leaflet format in the waiting room.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting patients' needs

The practice was well maintained and provided a comfortable, relaxing environment.

The practice had an appointment system in place which took account of patients' needs. Staff told us that patients requiring urgent appointments were seen the same day.

We saw that the dentists tailored appointment lengths to patients' individual needs and patients could choose from morning, afternoon or evening appointments. Patients told us they had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed.

Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice had taken into consideration the needs of different groups of people, for example, people with disabilities, and put in place reasonable adjustments, for example, handrails to assist with mobility.

The practice was accessible to wheelchair users.

Two of the treatment rooms and the patient toilet facilities were on the ground floor and accessible to wheelchair users.

Staff had access to interpreter and translation services for people who required them.

Access to the service

The practice displayed its opening hours on the premises, in the practice's information leaflet and on their website.

The practice made every effort to see patients experiencing pain or other dental emergencies on the same day and had appointments available for this. The website, information leaflet and answerphone provided contact details for patients requiring emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. Patients confirmed they could make routine and emergency appointments easily.

Concerns and complaints

The practice had a complaints policy providing guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. The practice information leaflet explained how to make a complaint. The practice aimed to resolve complaints in-house where possible. Staff told us they raised any formal or informal comments or concerns with the practice manager to ensure the patient received a quick response.

Information was available about organisations patients could contact should they not wish to complain to the practice directly or if they were not satisfied with the way the practice dealt with their concerns.

We looked at comments, compliments and complaints the practice received in the previous 12 months. We saw that the practice responded to concerns appropriately and discussed outcomes with staff to share learning and improve the service.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

Governance arrangements

The principal dentist and practice manager had overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice. Several staff had lead roles and specific responsibilities. We saw staff had access to suitable supervision and support for their roles and responsibilities.

The practice had policies, procedures and risk assessments in place to support the management of the service and to guide staff. We saw that policies, procedures and risk assessments were regularly reviewed to ensure they were up to date with regulations and guidance.

We saw the practice had arrangements in place to monitor the quality of the service and make improvements where required.

The practice had information security arrangements in place and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

The practice was a member of a practice accreditation scheme which encouraged good standards of care in dentistry. We observed that the practice performed consistently well in accreditation audits.

Leadership, openness and transparency

Staff were aware of the duty of candour requirements to be open, honest and to offer an apology to patients should anything go wrong.

Staff told us there was an open, transparent culture in the practice. Staff said they were encouraged to raise issues and they felt confident to do this. We saw numerous examples of open, inclusive management at the practice. The managers valued the contributions made to the team by all staff.

The practice held regular staff meetings where staff could communicate information, exchange ideas and discuss updates. Where appropriate meetings were arranged to share urgent information.

Learning and improvement

The practice had quality assurance processes in place to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included, for example, audits. We reviewed audits of dental care records, X-rays, waiting times and infection prevention and control. Staff kept records of the results of these and produced action plans where necessary. We saw the auditing process was used by the practice to drive improvements in standards and was functioning well.

The principal dentist showed a commitment to learning and improvement. We saw evidence of learning from complaints, incidents audits and feedback. The practice team regularly reviewed the skills of the practice as a whole, both clinical and non-clinical, and improved on these by self study, reflection, peer review and further training. The practice manager attended quarterly regional practice management meetings and we saw evidence of contributions made to these to improve standards in dental practice management.

The whole practice team had annual appraisals which helped identify individual learning needs.

Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice had a system in place to seek the views of patients about all areas of service delivery through the use of regular patient surveys and a suggestion box.

Patients were encouraged to complete the NHS Friends and Family Test. This is a national programme to allow patients an opportunity to provide feedback on NHS services they have used.

We saw that the provider acted on patient feedback, for example, patients had requested evening appointments and these had been provided in response.

The practice gathered feedback from staff through surveys, meetings, appraisals and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on.